

CARS Board Meeting Minutes

July 11th, 2018

Item	Discussion
1A	<p>The meeting was called to order at 7:08 ET</p> <p>Attendance included:</p> <p>John Hall (President)</p> <p>Chris Kremer (Sec/Treasurer & Rally West Director)</p> <p>Martin Burnley (RPM Director)</p> <p>Patrick Rainville (RSQ Director).</p> <p>Clarke Paynter (Atlantic Director)</p> <p>Graham Bruce (Tech Director)</p> <p>Wim van der Poel (Competitor Rep)</p> <p>Ross Wood (Organizer Rep)</p> <p>Terry Epp (Series Manager)</p> <p>We have a quorum with 4/5 directors to start the meeting.</p> <p>Absent:</p> <p>Darryl Malone (Vice President, RSO Director)</p>
2A	<p>A motion was made to approve the June 13th board meeting minutes, as presented. The motion was seconded and carried</p>
1B	<p>Chris has started working on AGM planning and the 2019 budget</p>
2B	<p>Terry reported on CRC events;</p> <p>Baie went off very well, ran on schedule, 37 entries including 3 from Mexico (NACAM entries) There were three crashes that caused serious damage to 2 cars.</p> <p>Some media issues. Terry talked to an event media person that was positioned too close to the road at the exit of the big jump. He also talked to the organizer about a couple of the Mexican media who positioned themselves too close.</p> <p>Defi sup regs are online. Prep seems to be coming along well.</p> <p>PFR sup regs are due, nothing yet.</p>
3B	<p>Ross asked if he could circulate the National scrutineer report to the event organizers. We agreed that he could do that. We should notify Matt who his report is distributed to.</p>
4B	<p>Wim mentioned at Baie that a red cross was shown to 2 competitors who stopped on stage. When Wim and later teams arrived at the scene there was no red cross. Wim caught and passed one of the competitors that had stopped. Apparently the Red Cross was cancelled by the organizer after 2 cars had stopped for it. The Red Cross had been shown by a marshal as he had witnessed a crash and felt</p>

	<p>that the crew must have been injured. The crew would not have thrown the red cross.</p> <p>John suggested that the Admin Rules Committee need to discuss the cancelling of a red cross, the thought being that once it is shown the stage is cancelled and the red cross can't be cancelled mid stage. Also need to discuss the use of the red cross by a marshal.</p>
5B	<p>Martin talked briefly about RPAC and reminded everyone that any questions need to be sent to an RPAC board member and not CARS. An RPAC board member will update CARS on activity and progress at the monthly CARS board meetings</p>
1C	<p>John has started to draft the 2019/20 Subaru agreement.</p>
2C	<p>The sponsorship committee met and discussed the addition of an 8th event with Formula. We agreed that this will require a combination of proposals from Formula depending upon how much financial support CARS manages to get in 2019. 2019 media could range from the full 8 events being televised, reduced coverage or deliverables to some doubling up or even dropping some events if funding is not adequate.</p>
4C	<p>John is meeting with Motul on July 13th to discuss progress and the likelihood of Motul staying with us into 2019/20</p> <p>Formula/Bowes prepared a short video highlighting the Motul exposure.</p>
1D	<p>Graham has been working on the design of a pop-off valve mounting method.</p> <p>Graham will work on the details of the pop-off valve procurement and finalize in order to allow teams to move forward with purchase and testing.</p>
2D	<p>The National Scrutineer report from BDC was discussed.</p> <p>After future events it was agreed that Ross could share the report with the event organizers. Matt should be made aware of the report distribution.</p> <p>There were a couple of issues highlighted;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bulletin 2017-06 on circuit breaker installation was not in compliance on 3 cars. This non-compliance has been noted in the logbooks and corrections expected before the next event. Wim has agreed to remind competitors that this rule has now been in place for 5 month and that vehicles are expected to comply with the rule. 2. The report indicated that the method of tech followed by Parc Ferme at Baie makes it very difficult to do a thorough job of tech, due to lack of time. It also puts pressure on tech to let things go since competitors have very little time from when tech is complete to when the rally starts. It was suggested that tech could be handled much better if it was conducted the afternoon/early evening on recce day.
3D	<p>John reviewed the proposed logbook rules that he, Graham and Martin had worked on. John proposed that the rules should go to 3-week review by the rally community; making sure that car/cage builders are included in the review.</p> <p>A motion was made to send the rules for 3 week review and include a preamble explaining the increased need of a tighter, more thorough logbook system that will be required for registration and exemptions that are currently being negotiated in Alberta and BC.</p> <p>The motion was seconded and adopted. John will work with Graham on the preamble and the rule bulletin will be sent to Debbie for translation and issue.</p>
4D	<p>Graham reported that there seems to be reasonable interest in an historic class although he could not provide any numbers of likely participants.</p> <p>A motion was made to proceed with the development of a rule set. The motion was seconded and adopted.</p> <p>John will work with Graham to draft an historic class rule set.</p>

1E	<p>Terry provided a set of rule change proposals that address the rigidity of the existing Judge of Fact and exclusion rules related to parc ferme infractions.</p> <p>John reviewed the proposal to send the rules to two week rally community review. It was suggested that the board be given a few days to review the proposed rules in detail before an email vote be taken. Good idea.</p> <p>A motion was made to send the proposed rule change bulletin, along with the background/guidelines and new Judge of Fact report form out for 2-week rally community review after receiving the support of board members, by email on or before July 16th.</p>
1G	<p>Martin reported that he, John Munroe and Graham had received a draft proposal back from Alberta Transportation and have responded with a few requested changes. Martin indicated that he is hopeful to have an Alberta & BC Rally car exemption for equipment and registration in the near future.</p> <p>This would mean that a rally car could be registered as such and be legal for use as a rally car. This would limit the vehicle use to rally events and place some requirements for logbooks and event details.</p> <p>The board expressed their appreciation for John Munroe getting involved and helping with this issue.</p>
3G	<p>John raised the question of Charlevoix and indicated that we need to start moving forward on preparation for the event joining the CRC. The observation will take place during the 2018 event and will be conducted by Martin. Patrick will find a bi-lingual candidate to accompany Martin.</p> <p>Because the event is late in the year we need to assume that the observation will be supportive of the event joining the CRC and start preparations.</p> <p>Some items that need to be addressed by CARS are as follows;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The scoring of the championship and how to maintain a level of fairness across the country 2. The addition of an 8th event to the various website elements: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. 8 event Calendar b. Standings calculations for the championship (formula changes) c. Addition of an historic class 3. Media coverage and available funding 4. Budget to cover cost of National Series Manager, National Scrutineer, Sponsor Host, etc.
1J	<p>The next meeting is set for Wednesday Sept 19th at 7:00PM ET</p>
2J	<p>A motion was made to adjourn the meeting. The motion was seconded and carried. The meeting adjourned at 9:15pm ET</p>